



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,937	02/08/2001	Seung Kil Kim	001033	4134
7590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER		
David E. Bennett			WEST, LEWIS G	
Coats & Benne	tt, P.L.L.C.			
1400 Crescent Green, Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cary, NC 275			2682	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action 09/779,937

Application No. Applicant(s) KIM, SEUNG KIL

Potoro the Eiling of an Annual Priof						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lewis G. West	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed, within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	pe filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
AMENDMENTS	End to A 41 TA 65%					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei		educina or simplifyina	the issues for			
appeal; and/or			,			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	not be entered is necessary			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the request for reconsidered but the request for reconsideration has been considered but the reconsideration has been considered by the reconside	it does NOT place the application:	n condition for allaw-				
See Continuation Sheet.			ince because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
3.						
•						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Regarding claims 1-5, Kawanami is cited as suggesting connecting a non-active antenna to ground, and motivation is given for the combination. Applicant's speculation that they would arrive at something different given the combination is unpersuasive, because as stated in the action, it is known in the art to ground a non-active antenna to prevent interference. Regarding claim 6, a new cellular search would not be a "modification" but an implicit step. If the signal is lost the new search would have to occur and would have to occur when the GPS signals were not gated, and is not "impermissible hindsight". Prosecution remains closed.

Lewis West (571) 272-7859

QUOCHIEN B. VUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER